1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 STEVE TEIXEIRA, 9 Plaintiff, 10 v. 11 MOZILLA CORPORATION, a.k.a. M.F. Case No. 2:24-cv-1032 Technologies, a California corporation; MOZILLA FOUNDATION, a California 12 DEFENDANT MOZILLA FOUNDATION'S public benefit corporation; LAURA ANSWER, DEFENSES, AND CHAMBERS and her marital community; AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 13 WINIFRED MITCHELL BAKER and her marital community; and DANI CHEHAK and 14 her marital community, 15 Defendants. 16 Defendant Mozilla Foundation answers Plaintiff Steve Teixeria's Complaint as follows: 17 Plaintiff's Complaint commences with an introductory paragraph that attempts to 18 summarize his factual allegations and claims without a heading. Plaintiff employs the term 19 "Mozilla" without defining which defendant he contends engaged in the conduct set forth in the 20 introductory paragraph. Mozilla Foundation did not and denies the allegations to the extent they 21 intend to impugn Mozilla Foundation. As to other the Defendants, Mozilla Foundation lacks 22 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Plaintiff's 23 introductory paragraph and therefore denies the same. 24 SEBRIS BUSTO JAMES DEFENDANT MOZILLA FOUNDATION'S ANSWER, DEFENSES, AND 15375 SE 30th Pl., Suite 310 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES – 1 Bellevue, Washington 98007 *Teixeira v. Mozilla Corporation, et al. – Case No.* 2:24-cv-1032 Tel: 425-454-4233 - Fax: 425-453-9005

1 I. **PARTIES** 2 1. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1, and therefore denies the same. 3 2. Admit. 4 3. Admit. 5 6 4. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 7 to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 4, and therefore denies the same. 5. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 8 9 to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5, and therefore denies the same. 6. Admit 10 Admit. 11 7. II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 12 Paragraph 8 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Mozilla 8. 13 14 Foundation affirmatively alleges that the Federal District Court should exercise jurisdiction over 15 this matter. 9. 16 Deny. 17 10. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 10, and therefore denies the same. 18 19 11. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 20 to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11, and therefore denies the same. 12. Admit. 21 Mozilla Foundation admits that it is headquartered in San Franciso, California but 22 13. 23 denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 13. 24 SEBRIS BUSTO JAMES DEFENDANT MOZILLA FOUNDATION'S ANSWER, DEFENSES, AND

to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 59, and therefore denies the same. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 60, and therefore denies the same. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 61, and therefore denies the same. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as

- Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 63, and therefore denies the same.
- Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 64, and therefore denies the same.
- Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 65, and therefore denies the same.
- Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 66, and therefore denies the same.
- Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 67, and therefore denies the same.
- Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 68, and therefore denies the same.
- Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 69, and therefore denies the same.
- Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 70, and therefore denies the same.

CLAIM ONE – WASHINGTON LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION

24

1 (All Defendants) 2 106. Paragraph 106 does not contain any factual allegations to which a responsive pleading is required, and, to the extent it does, Mozilla Foundation admits and denies these 3 4 allegations as it previously answered herein. 5 107. Paragraph 107 of the Complaint contains only purported statements of law and no 6 allegations of facts to which a responsive pleading is required. To the extent Paragraph 107 7 contains any allegations of fact, Mozilla Foundation denies all of them. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 8 108. 9 to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 108, and therefore denies the same. 109. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 10 11 to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 109, and therefore denies the same. 12 110. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 110, and therefore denies the same. 13 Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 14 111. to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 111, and therefore denies the same. 15 16 112. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 17 to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 112, and therefore denies the same. 18 113. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 19 to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 113, and therefore denies the same. 20 114. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 114, and therefore denies the same. 21 22 115. Deny. 23 116. Deny. 24 117. Deny. SEBRIS BUSTO JAMES

24

CLAIM TWO – WLAD RETALIATION (All Defendants)

- 118. Paragraph 118 does not contain any factual allegations to which a responsive pleading is required, and, to the extent it does, Mozilla Foundation admits and denies these allegations as it previously answered herein.
- 119. Paragraph 119 of the Complaint contains only purported statements of law and no allegations of facts to which responsive pleading is required. To the extent Paragraph 119 contains allegations of fact, Mozilla Foundation denies all of them.
- 120. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 120, and therefore denies the same.
- 121. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 121, and therefore denies the same.
- 122. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 122, and therefore denies the same.
- 123. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 123, and therefore denies the same.
- 124. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 124, and therefore denies the same.
- 125. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 125, and therefore denies the same.
- 126. Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 126, and therefore denies the same.

CLAIM THREE – WLAD DISCLOSURE OF HEALTH INFORMATION (All Defendants)

1	146.	Deny.		
2	147.	Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as		
3	to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 147, and therefore denies the same.			
4	148.	Deny.		
5	CLAIM SEVEN – SILENCED NO MORE ACT (As to Defendant Mozilla Corporation)			
6	149.	Paragraph 149 does not contain any factual allegations to which a responsive		
7	pleading is required, and, to the extent it does, Mozilla Foundation admits and denies these			
8	allegations as it previously answered herein.			
9	150.	The allegations in Paragraph 150 are not directed at Mozilla Foundation, but to the		
10	extent that the	y are, Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief		
11	as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 150, and therefore denies the same.			
12	151.	The allegations in Paragraph 151 are not directed at Mozilla Foundation, but to the		
13	extent that they are, Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief			
14	as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 151, and therefore denies the same.			
15 16	CLAIM EIGHT – DECLATORY RELIEF (As to Defendant Mozilla Corporation)			
17	152.	Paragraph 152 does not contain any factual allegations to which a responsive		
18	pleading is re	quired, and, to the extent it does, Mozilla Foundation denies all of them.		
19	153.	Paragraph 153 does not contain any factual allegations to which a responsive		
20	pleading is required, and to the extent it does, Mozilla Foundation denies all of them.			
21	154.	Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as		
22	to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 154, and therefore denies the same.			
23				
24				
	DEFENDANT	MOZILLA FOUNDATION'S ANSWER, DEFENSES, AND SEBRIS BUSTO JAMES 15375 SE 30th Pl., Suite 310		

The allegations in Paragraph 155 are not directed at Mozilla Foundation, but to the extent that they are, Mozilla Foundation lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 155, and therefore denies the same.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Mozilla Foundation denies all remaining allegations in the Complaint that they have not yet separately and specifically admitted. Mozilla Foundation denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the

DEFENSES AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- Plaintiff states no claim upon which relief may be granted, or for which any
- Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the applicable statute of
 - Plaintiff was never an employee of Mozilla Foundation.
 - Mozilla Foundation had no duty of care towards Plaintiff.
- Mozilla Foundation had no knowledge of the alleged actions of Mozilla
- Plaintiff has not alleged any facts that, if proven, would pierce the corporate veil to hold Mozilla Foundation liable for Mozilla Corporation's alleged acts.
 - Mozilla Foundation does not assume the liabilities of Mozilla Corporation.
 - Plaintiff has unclean hands and/or waived his claims by his actions.
- Plaintiff is estopped by his own conduct from asserting the claims that he has
- Plaintiff's claims are frivolous and advanced without proper cause against Mozilla Foundation.

24

1	11.	Plaintiff has failed to mitigate his damages.	
2	12.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because he did not suffer any	
3	damages from the acts and conduct of which he complains.		
4	13.	Mozilla Foundation denies it caused any harm, injury, or damages to Plaintiff.	
5	14.	Plaintiff's claims are barred and/or their damages, if any, are reduced in full or in	
6	part, by the doctrine of after-acquired evidence.		
7	15.	Plaintiff would be unjustly enriched to recover damages from this action.	
8	16.	Mozilla Foundation reserves a right to amend its Answer and assert additional	
9	affirmative defenses and legal theories as established by the facts of the case.		
10	VII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF		
11	Wherefore, having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant Mozilla Foundation		
12	requests the following relief:		
13	(a)	for Plaintiff's Complaint to be dismissed with prejudice and at Plaintiff's sole cost;	
14	(b)	(b) for the Court to enter judgment in Defendant's favor on all claims in the	
15	Complaint; and		
16	(c)	for the Court to award Defendant such other relief that the Court determines is	
17	equitable and warranted.		
18	DAT	ED this 16th day of August 2024.	
19		Sebris Busto James	
20		s/ Darren A. Feider Darren A. Feider, WSBA #22430	
21		15375 SE 30 th Pl., STE 310 Bellevue, Washington 98007	
22		(425) 454-4233 <u>dfeider@sbj.law</u>	
23		Sebris Busto James	
24		s/ Amanda V. Masters Amanda V. Masters, WSBA #46342	
	DEFENDANT	MOZILLA FOUNDATION'S ANSWER, DEFENSES, AND SEBRIS BUSTO JAMES	

Case 2:24-cv-01032-RAJ Document 15 Filed 08/16/24 Page 18 of 19

1	15375 SE 30 th Pl., STE 310
2	Bellevue, Washington 98007 (425) 454-4233 amasters@sbj.law
3	
4	Attorneys for Defendant Mozilla Foundation
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	SEBRIS BUSTO JAMES

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>				
2	I, Darren A Feider, certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that,				
3	on August 16, 2024, I caused to be served the attached document to the individuals listed below in				
4	the manner shown:				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff:				
6 7	Mathew L. Harrington Amy K. Alexander STOKES LAWRENCE, P.S. 1420 5 th Avenue, 30 th Floor	□ By U.S. Mail□ By Federal Express□ By Facsimile□ By Messenger			
9	Seattle, WA 98101 E-mail: mat.harrington@stokeslaw.com amy.alexander@stokeslaw.com	☐ By Electronic Mail ☑ By E-Service			
10 11	Attorneys for Defendants Mozilla Corporation, Laura Chambers, Winifred Mitchell Baker, and Dani Chehak				
12	Anthony Todaro	☐ By U.S. Mail			
13	Alexandria Cates DLA PIPER LLP (US)	☐ By Federal Express☐ By Facsimile			
14	701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6900 Seattle, WA 98104-7029	☐ By Messenger			
15 16	E-mail: anthony.todaro@us.dlapiper.com alexandria.cates@us.dlapiper.com	□ By Electronic Mail⋈ By E-Service			
17					
18	s/ Darren A. Feider Attorney for Defendant				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
	DEFENDANT MOZILLA FOUNDATION'S ANSWER	R, DEFENSES, AND SEBRIS BUSTO JAMES 15375 SE 30th PL Suite 310			